



# Illinois Department of Transportation

2300 South Dirksen Parkway / Springfield, Illinois / 62764

December 8, 2005

#05-11

## **FY 2007 Highway Safety Improvement Program and High Risk Rural Roads Program**

County Engineers/Superintendent of Highways  
Metropolitan Planning Organizations - Directors  
Municipal Engineers/Public Works Directors  
Consulting Engineers

The Illinois Comprehensive Highway Safety Plan (CHSP) outlines a mission to develop, implement, and manage an integrated multi-stakeholder process to improve the attributes of roads, users, and vehicles to reduce traffic-related deaths and life-altering injuries in Illinois. The Bureau of Safety Engineering is responsible for oversight and implementation of the CHSP. As part of this plan, we are requesting candidate projects for the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and the High Risk Rural Roads Program (HRRRP) for FY 2007. These two new programs established under SAFETEA-LU, the new federal highway bill, replace the old Hazard Elimination Safety Program (HES). The HSIP and HRRRP projects selected will be in addition to the previously selected HES projects for FY 2007. For following years, only HSIP and HRRRP projects will be selected.

### **FUNDING**

HSIP funds are provided to address safety opportunities. These funds are designated as such so that individual safety issues can be addressed independently without completely reconstructing entire roadway segments or intersections to all of the latest policies and standards. If enabling legislation is passed and signed, we anticipate funding for the local highway system of approximately \$3 million for the HRRRP and \$5 million for the HSIP will be available July 2006. The federal funding level is a maximum of 90 percent of the total improvement cost for these projects, with the local agency responsible for the 10 percent matching funds. Information regarding additional funds that may be used to fund each candidate should also be provided in the application.

### **HSIP**

The Highway Safety Improvement Program is a new core Federal-aid funding program with the goal of achieving a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. Highway safety improvement projects correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature, or address a highway safety problem. Safety improvements listed in the benefit-cost methodology, as well as other proposed improvements that can be justified based on accepted engineering studies or research, will be reviewed for possible selection for this program.

**HRRRP**

The High Risk Rural Roads Program is a specific set-aside provision of the HSIP to support construction and operational safety improvements on roadways functionally classified as a rural major or minor collector or rural local road that have fatal and incapacitating injury crash rates higher than the statewide average for those functional classes of roads; or that will likely have increases in volume that are likely to create such rates. For applications to be considered eligible, the local agency must prove that the project location has an annual fatality rate or incapacitating injury (Class A injury) rate above the listed averages for the respective functional class and that the proposed improvement should effectively reduce that rate.

<b>Functional Class</b>	<b>State Ave Fatalities (Fatal / 100 miles)</b>	<b>State Ave A-Inj (Injury / 100 miles)</b>
Rural Major Collector	3.12	5.44
Rural Minor Collector	1.12	0.25
Rural Local Road	0.49	6.17

Applications which are not eligible or selected under the HRRRP may be considered for funding under the more broad HSIP.

**APPLICATION**

An application form, evaluation form and benefit-cost methodology are attached. Each submitted candidate project must have a completed application packet which provides a detailed benefit-cost analysis in accordance with the attached methodology to support the funding requested. Supporting documentation shall include crash analysis; either detailed collision diagrams or individual crash reports; and may include additional relevant information such as the local area strategic safety plan, photographs, newspaper articles, or letters from local officials that will help to focus on the problem area and support the proposed improvement.

Crash data may be provided and utilized in the benefit-cost ratio for as many years as are relevant to the existing safety problem addressed by the project. It is recommended that 5-8 years of crash data be used for analyses. Highway safety improvement projects may include one or more of the following: improvement of highway signage or pavement markings, elimination of roadside obstacles, installation of guardrails, barriers, or crash attenuators, intersection safety improvements, pavement and shoulder widening, installation of rumble strips or other warning devices, installation of a skid-resistant surface, improvements for pedestrian or bicyclist safety, and construction of traffic calming features. The final annualized benefit-cost should be adjusted to the number of years considered. Additional information should include a short narrative explaining the crash problem and how the proposed improvement will alleviate the situation.

The attached evaluation form should also be completed and submitted with the application. Include all information that is known and estimates for those items still undetermined. Evaluating the effectiveness of funded safety projects is a key

provision to continual improvement of the comprehensive plan. The information provided should demonstrate that the proposed improvement has the potential to reduce fatalities and incapacitating injuries and outline the objectives and measures of effectiveness that will be used to determine in the future if the anticipated benefits were realized.

**SELECTION**

Candidate projects will be evaluated, prioritized and recommended by the State Safety Projects Committee, with final approval by the Secretary of Transportation. The committee will consist of two people from FHWA, three people from the Bureau of Safety Engineering, and two people from the Central Bureau of Local Roads and Streets. The purpose of the committee is to ensure all projects are given equal consideration and provide consistent standards and uniformity in the selection process. Local agencies will be notified of their selection by the Department.

**EVALUATION**

Local agencies are expected to submit annual evaluations for each of the three years following the completion of a funded HSIP or HRRRP project using the attached format. This is a minimum requirement which will allow IDOT to establish a database to measure the effectiveness of different types of highway safety improvement projects. This information will also provide the basis for reporting evaluation results to the FHWA.

Proposed projects should be submitted to the reorganized IDOT District office no later than February 10, 2006. Any questions regarding the HSIP or HRRRP should be directed to Leigh Ann Lareau at (217) 785-5178.

Sincerely,



Charles J. Ingersoll, P. E.  
Engineer of Local Roads and Streets



Priscilla A. Tobias, P. E.  
State Safety Engineer

Attachments

cc: Mike Staggs  
Eric Harm  
Chuck Schmitt

JPA/jpa

**Project Application**

**Highway Safety Improvement Program or  
High Risk Rural Roads Program**

IDOT District \_\_\_\_\_ Local Agency \_\_\_\_\_

Check Programs applying for: HSIP \_\_\_\_\_ HRRRP \_\_\_\_\_

Route Name/ Number. \_\_\_\_\_

Limits \_\_\_\_\_ (Add Location Map)

Project Description : \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

ADT: \_\_\_\_\_ Functional Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

Fatality Rate (fatal/100 miles): \_\_\_\_\_ Class A Injury Rate (Inj / 100 mi): \_\_\_\_\_

Annualized Benefit/Cost Ratio: \_\_\_\_\_ Fatalities in past 5 years: \_\_\_\_\_

CHSP Emphasis Area: \_\_\_\_\_ % Reduction Expected: \_\_\_\_\_

<u>Year</u>	<u>Number of Fatalities</u>	<u>Number of Class A Injuries</u>	<u>Total Number of Crashes</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Total Estimated Cost: \_\_\_\_\_ Total Safety Funds: \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: PROJECTS WILL BE CONSIDERED ONLY WHEN SUPPORTED BY CRASH DATA, CRASH ANALYSIS AND DETAILED BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS (REPORTS AND/OR DIAGRAMS).

**Illinois Department of Transportation**  
**Evaluation of Highway Safety Improvement Program Project**

Section #:

County:

City:

Location:

---

Evaluator:

Date:

Initial Implementation Cost:

Annual Operating and Maintenance Cost:

Cost of Evaluation Study:

---

Discussion of problem addressed by project:

List objectives and "Measures of Effectiveness (MOE)":

Time period which evaluation spans:

Discuss data collection activities, techniques, equipment used and analysis:

List % change in each MOE expected and realized:

Discuss benefit/cost anticipated and economic analysis of what was realized:

Discuss anticipated or actual problems encountered, conclusions and recommendations for future safety improvements and evaluation studies:

## LOCAL ROADS HSIP / HRRRP PROJECT BENEFIT - COST METHODOLOGY

This method has been developed to determine the benefit-to-cost ratios for proposed highway safety construction projects. This information will be utilized in conjunction with other safety considerations to select cost-effective countermeasures. A form is provided for calculating and reporting these ratios (**Attachment A**).

To explain this method, an example is analyzed (**Attachment B**). The example is a proposed signal installation project, with an estimated cost of \$125,000, including an estimated R.O.W. cost of \$20,000. The collision diagram printouts for the three years report a total of 11 rear-end crashes, 15 head-on crashes, and 13 left-turn crashes. This site is located on a state-marked route in a rural area. Each column on the form is numbered for reference in describing the method and is explained as follows:

**Column 1** - Location number.

*In this case: 1.*

**Column 2** - Estimated project cost in dollars, excluding R.O.W. cost.

*In this case: \$105,000.*

Estimated R.O.W. cost should not be included with this number, but should be shown as a separate R.O.W. cost.

*In this case: \$20,000.*

**Column 3** - Estimated service life in years (**Table A**).

*For this example: 15 years for signal installation and 20 years for R.O.W.*

**Column 4** - Annualized costs of construction (without R.O.W.) and R.O.W. shown separately. This is calculated by dividing Column 2 by Column 3.

*For this example:  $\$105,000/15 = \$7,000$  and  $\$20,000/20 = \$1,000$ .*

Add the individualized costs to determine a total annualized cost.

*For this example:  $\$7,000 + \$1,000 = \$8,000$ .*

**Column 5** - Crashes reported as occurring at the proposed location during the period of the analysis.

*In this example: 39 total.*

**Column 6** - Affected crashes. This is the number of crashes (for each type of crash) that could be expected to be reduced by the proposed improvement. This number is calculated by first determining the crash types that could be affected by the improvement from **Table B**. When selecting crash types from **Table B**, use only those types designated on the line for the improvement of interest.

*As an example, for signal installation projects, the crash types affected are pedestrian, fixed object, rear-end, sideswipe-same direction, angle, left-turn, and right-turn crashes. Furthermore, the crash types are likely to be affected during all light and pavement conditions.*

The second step is to check the collision diagram information printout for the number of crashes that occurred for each type of crash.

*In this example: the collision diagram indicated 11 rear-end, 15 head-on, and 13 left-turn crashes.*

Include in Column 6 only the numbers of rear-end and left-turn crashes, since these are the only types affected.

**Column 7** - Crash reduction factor. This is the value taken from **Table A** for the type of improvement proposed.

*For this example: with new traffic signals proposed, the crash reduction factor is 15 percent.*

The crash factor applies only to the crash types affected (**Table B**).

*In this example: rear-end and left-turn crashes.*

**Column 8** - Affected crashes reduced. This is calculated by multiplying Column 6 by Column 7.

*For this example: 11 rear-end crashes x 15% = 1.65 crashes reduced;  
13 left-turn crashes x 15% = 1.95 crashes reduced.*

**Column 9** - Benefits. This is the crash cost prevented as a result of the improvement. This is obtained by multiplying the affected crashes reduced for each crash type (Column 8) by the appropriate average crash costs, found in **Tables C, D, and E**, and summing.

*In this case, which is a rural state-marked project, the benefits are:  
(1.65 x \$33,033 = \$54,504) + (1.95 x \$36,856 = \$71,869) = \$126,373.*

**Column 10** - Annual benefit to cost ratio. This ratio is obtained by dividing Column 9 by Column 4 and then dividing by three.

*In this example: \$126,373/\$8,000/3 = 5.27*

Table A

**SERVICE LIFE OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS  
AND CRASH REDUCTION FACTORS**

INTERSECTION	SERVICE LIFE	CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR (%)
<b>01 General</b>		
AA - Improvement	15	35
AB - Realignment	15	35
AC - Reconstruction	15	35
<b>02 Pavement</b>		
BA - Widen/Resurface	15	45
BB - Widening	15	45
BC - Resurfacing	10	30
BD - Skid Proofing	5	45
BE - Grooving	7	45
BF - Rumble Stripping	3	30
BG - Seal Coating	3	45
<b>03 Channelization</b>		
CA - Raised Curb Median	15	50
CB - Raised Reflector Median	7	50
CC - Rumble Strip Median	10	50
CD - Thermo-Plastic Tape	3	50
CE - Paint	2	50
CF - Lane Transition	15	50
CG - Lane Addition	15	50
CH - Left Turn Lane/Throat Widening	15	50
CI - Right Turn Lane	15	50
CJ - Left Turn Lane	15	50
CK - Bi-Directional Turn Lane	15	50
CL - Left Turn Acceleration	15	50
CM - Right Turn Acceleration Lane	15	50
CN - Deceleration Lane	15	50
CO - One-Way Couple	15	50
<b>04 Signing</b>		
DA - Modernization	6	35
DB - Installation	6	40
DC - Speed	6	40
DD - Advanced Warning	6	40
DE - Street Name	6	25
DF - Four-Way Stop	5	40
DG - Minor Leg Stop	5	40
DH - Yield	5	40
DI - Changeable Message	5	40
DJ - No-Turn-On-Red	6	40
DK - Delineators	4	40
DL - Flexible Post	4	40
DM - Overhead Truss	15	40



Table A

**SERVICE LIFE OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS  
AND CRASH REDUCTION FACTORS**

<b>INTERSECTION (CONTINUED)</b>	<b>SERVICE LIFE</b>	<b>CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR (%)</b>
<b>05 Signalization</b>		
EA - Modernization	10	25
EB - Installation	15	15
EC - Relocation	15	25
ED - Warning Flasher	10	15
EE - Red/Yellow Flashing Beacon	10	15
EF - Red Flashing Beacon	10	15
EG - Left Turn with Lane	15	25
EH - Left Turn without Lane	10	25
EI - Phase Adjustment	10	25
EJ - Twelve Inch Lens	10	25
EK - Traffic Actuated	10	25
EL - Time Lane Control	10	25
EM - Optical Programmed	10	25
EN - Pedestrian Control	10	25
EO - Mast Arming	15	25
EP - Safety Lighting	15	25
<b>NON-INTERSECTION</b>		
<b>06 Pavement Treatment</b>		
FA - Widen/Resurface	15	45
FB - Widening	15	45
FC - Resurfacing	10	30
FD - Skid Proofing	5	45
FE - Grooving	7	45
FF - Rumble Stripping	3	30
FG - Seal Coating	3	45
<b>07 Pavement Marking</b>		
GA - General Pavement Marking	1	30
GB - Center Line	1	30
GC - Edge Line	1	30
GD - Raised Reflector	4	30
GE - No-Pass Striping	1	30
GF - Thermo-Plastic Tape	3	30
GG - Paint	1	30
<b>08 Railroad Crossing</b>		
HA - Modification	15	50
HB - Gates	15	60
HC - Crossbucks	15	60
HD - Flashing Lights	15	60
HE - Flashing Beacons	15	60
HF - Warning Bells	15	50
HG - Pavement Markings	2	30
HH - Warning Signs-Standard	2	40
HI - Warning Signs-Special	5	40
HJ - Delineators	4	40
HK - Safety Lighting	15	50
HL - Resurfacing	10	25
HM - Grade Separation	20	50
HN - Removal	20	50

Table A

**SERVICE LIFE OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS  
AND CRASH REDUCTION FACTORS**

<b>NON-INTERSECTION (CONTINUED)</b>	<b>SERVICE LIFE</b>	<b>CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR (%)</b>
<b>09 Bridge</b>		
IA - General Repair	10	45
IB - Widen/Resurface	15	45
IC - Widening	15	45
ID - Resurfacing	10	30
IE - Skid Proofing	5	45
IF - Grooving	7	45
IG - Frost/Ice Detectors-Sign	10	25
IH - Frost/Ice Detectors-Radio	10	25
II - Guardrail	10	15
IJ - Pedestrian Handrail	15	15
IK - Safety Lighting	15	50
IL - Delineators	4	15
IM - Impact Attenuators	3	15
IN - Reconstruction	20	50
IO - Removal	20	50
<b>10 Curve</b>		
JA - Realignment	15	35
JB - Reconstruction	15	50
JC - Superelevation	15	40
JD - Daylighting	15	30
JE - Widen/Resurface	15	45
JF - Widening	15	45
JG - Resurfacing	10	30
JH - Skid Proofing	5	45
JI - Grooving	7	45
JJ - Guardrail	10	15
JK - Advance Warning Sign	5	40
JL - Warning Flasher	10	15
JM - Delineators	4	40
JN - Relocation	15	45
<b>11 Roadside Safety</b>		
KA - General Obstacle Removal	20	50
KB - Fixed Object Removal	20	50
KC - Fringe Parking Removal	20	50
KD - Bike Path Removal	20	50
KE - Guardrail Installation	10	15
KF - Utility Adjustment	15	10
KG - Drainage Improvement	10	10
KH - Shoulder Repair	5	10
KI - Slope Stabilization	10	10
KJ - Impact Attenuators	3	10
KK - Glare Shields	10	15
KL - Fencing	10	15
KM - Access Control	20	15
<b>12 Other</b>		
OA - Turnout	15	50
OB - Ramp Improvement	15	45
OC - Right of Way	20	*

\*- Crash Reduction Factor included under Type of Safety Improvement

Table B

**CRASH TYPES AFFECTED BY TYPE OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENT**

		CRASH TYPE AFFECTED														LIGHT CONDITION			PAVEMENT CONDITION				
		ALL CRASH TYPES	OVERTURNED	PEDESTRIAN	TRAIN	PEDALCYCLIST	FIXED OBJECT	PARKED	REAR END	HEAD ON	SIDESWIPE SD	SIDESWIPE OD	ANGLE	TURNING LEFT	TURNING RIGHT	RAN OFF ROAD	DAYLIGHT	DARKNESS	DARK ROAD LIT	DRY	WET	ICE AND SNOW	HOUR SPECIFY
<b>01</b>	<b>General</b>																						
	AA - Improvement	AA															AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
	AB - Realignment	AB															AB	AB	AB	AB	AB	AB	AB
	AC - Reconstruction	AC															AC	AC	AC	AC	AC	AC	AC
<b>02</b>	<b>Pavement</b>																						
	BA - Widen/Resurface									BA	BA	BA				BA	BA	BA	BA	BA	BA	BA	
	BB - Widening									BB	BB	BB				BB	BB	BB	BB	BB	BB	BB	
	BC - Resurfacing	BC															BC	BC	BC		BC		
	BD - Skid Proofing	BD															BD	BD	BD		BD		
	BE - Grooving	BE															BE	BE	BE		BE		
	BF – Rumble Stripping				BF		BF		BF					BF	BF	BF	BF	BF	BF	BF	BF	BF	
	BG – Seal Coating	BG															BG	BG	BG	BG	BG	BG	
<b>03</b>	<b>Channelization</b>																						
	CA – Raised Curb Median							CA	CA	CA	CA		CA		CA	CA	CA	CA	CA	CA	CA	CA	
	CB – Raised Reflector Median								CB		CB		CB				CB	CB	CB	CB	CB	CB	
	CC – Rumble Strip Median								CC		CC		CC		CC	CC	CC	CC	CC	CC	CC	CC	
	CD – Thermo-plastic Tape							CD	CD	CD	CD		CD	CD			CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	
	CE – Paint							CE	CE	CE	CE		CE	CE			CE	CE	CE	CE	CE	CE	
	CF – Lane Transition							CF		CF				CF			CF	CF	CF	CF	CF	CF	
	CG – Lane Addition							CG		CG			CG	CG			CG	CG	CG	CG	CG	CG	CG
	CH – Left Turn Lane/Throat Widening							CH		CH	CH		CH				CH	CH	CH	CH	CH	CH	CH
	CI – Right Turn Lane							CI		CI				CI			CI	CI	CI	CI	CI	CI	CI
	CJ – Left Turn Lane							CJ		CJ	CJ		CJ				CJ	CJ	CJ	CJ	CJ	CJ	CJ
	CK – Bi-Directional Turn Lane							CK	CK	CK	CK		CK				CK	CK	CK	CK	CK	CK	CK
	CL – Left Turn Acceleration Lane							CL		CL	CL	CL	CL				CL	CL	CL	CL	CL	CL	CL
	CM – Right Turn Acceleration Lane							CM		CM				CM			CM	CM	CM	CM	CM	CM	CM
	CN – Deceleration Lane							CN		CN				CN			CN	CN	CN	CN	CN	CN	CN
	CO – One-Way Couple	CO															CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO

Table B

**CRASH TYPES AFFECTED BY TYPE OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENT**

		CRASH TYPE AFFECTED														LIGHT CONDITION			PAVEMENT CONDITION			
ALL CRASH TYPES		OVERTURNED	PEDESTRIAN	TRAIN	PEDALCYCLIST	FIXED OBJECT	PARKED	REAR END	HEAD ON	SIDESWIPE SD	SIDESWIPE OD	ANGLE	TURNING LEFT	TURNING RIGHT	RAN OFF ROAD	DAYLIGHT	DARKNESS	DARK ROAD LIT	DRY	WET	ICE AND SNOW	HOUR SPECIFY
<b>04</b>	<b>Signing</b>																					
	DA – Modernization	DA														DA	DA	DA	DA	DA	DA	DA
	DB – Installation	DB														DB	DB	DB	DB	DB	DB	DB
	DC – Speed	DC														DC	DC	DC	DC	DC	DC	DC
	DD – Advanced Warning	DD														DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD
	DE – Street Name															DE	DE	DE	DE	DE	DE	DE
	DF – Four-Way Stop		DF		DF			DF				DF	DF	DF		DF	DF	DF	DF	DF	DF	DF
	DG – Minor Leg Stop											DG	DG	DG		DG	DG	DG	DG	DG	DG	DG
	DH – Yield											DH	DH	DH		DH	DH	DH	DH	DH	DH	DH
	DI – Changeable Message	DI														DI	DI	DI	DI	DI	DI	
	DJ – No-Turn-On-Red							DJ						DJ		DJ	DJ	DJ	DJ	DJ	DJ	
	DK – Delineators															DK	DK	DK	DK	DK	DK	
	DL – Flexible Post	DL														DL	DL	DL	DL	DL	DL	
	DM – Overhead Truss							DM		DM						DM	DM	DM	DM	DM	DM	DM
<b>05</b>	<b>Signalization</b>																					
	EA – Modernization		EA		EA		EA	EA		EA		EA	EA	EA		EA	EA	EA	EA	EA	EA	EA
	EB – Installation		EB		EB		EB	EB		EB		EB	EB	EB		EB	EB	EB	EB	EB	EB	EB
	EC – Relocation				EC											EC	EC	EC	EC	EC	EC	
	ED – Warning Flasher	ED			ED		ED			ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	
	EE – Red/Yellow Flashing Beacon						EE			EE		EE	EE	EE	EE	EE	EE	EE	EE	EE	EE	
	EF – Red Flashing Beacon						EF			EF		EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	EF	
	EG – Left Turn with Lane						EG					EG				EG	EG	EG	EG	EG	EG	EG
	EH – Left Turn without Lane																					
	EI – Phase Adjustment						EI					EI	EI	EI		EI	EI	EI	EI	EI	EI	EI
	EJ – Twelve Inch Lens						EJ					EJ	EJ	EJ		EJ	EJ	EJ	EJ	EJ	EJ	EJ
	EK – Traffic Actuated						EK					EK	EK	EK		EK	EK	EK	EK	EK	EK	EK
	EL – Time Lane Control							EL								EL	EL	EL	EL	EL	EL	EL







Table B

**CRASH TYPES AFFECTED BY TYPE OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENT**

	CRASH TYPE AFFECTED													LIGHT CONDITION			PAVEMENT CONDITION			HOUR SPECIFY		
	ALL CRASH TYPES	OVERTURNED	PEDESTRIAN	TRAIN	PEDALCYCLIST	FIXED OBJECT	PARKED	REAR END	HEAD ON	SIDESWIPE SD	SIDESWIPE OD	ANGLE	TURNING LEFT	TURNING RIGHT	RAN OFF ROAD	DAYLIGHT	DARKNESS	DARK ROAD LIT	DRY		WET	ICE AND SNOW
<b>12 Other</b>																						
OA – Turnout	OA															OA	OA	OA	OA	OA	OA	
OB – Ramp Improvement		OB								OB					OB	OB	OB	OB	OB	OB	OB	OB
OC – Right of Way	Crash Types Affected is included under Type of Safety Improvement.																					



**Table C  
AVERAGE COST FOR  
URBAN**

Type of Collision On and Off Roadway	Property Damage Crashes	Injury Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Number of Persons		Total Crashes	Average Cost
				Killed	Injured		
Overtuned	955	1,331	33	35	1,819	2,319	\$51,179
Pedestrian	49	2,164	152	156	2,327	2,365	\$111,827
Train	25	16	2	3	21	43	\$102,810
Pedalcyclist	160	1,669	18	18	1,743	1,847	\$49,454
Animal	308	25	0	0	26	333	\$9,618
Fixed Object	14,570	4,315	183	203	5,233	19,068	\$28,010
Other Object	2,975	435	5	5	513	3,415	\$13,775
Non-Collision	2,006	716	3	3	812	2,725	\$18,474
Parked	4,613	484	6	6	592	5,103	\$12,313
Rear-End	110,049	31,971	55	60	46,077	142,075	\$19,047
Head-On	789	1,067	82	100	2,306	1,938	\$106,783
Sideswipe – Same Direction	23,953	1,960	15	16	2,733	25,928	\$11,432
Sideswipe – Opposite Direction	1,619	538	9	12	853	2,166	\$27,246
Angle	26,080	10,722	127	139	18,050	36,929	\$28,882
Turning	59,271	20,510	146	155	33,507	79,927	\$24,323
Other	96	14	0	0	20	110	\$14,962
<b>ANNUAL AVERAGE</b>	<b>82,506</b>	<b>25,979</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>38,877</b>	<b>108,764</b>	<b>\$22,849</b>

Average costs are calculated using 2001, 2002, and 2003 crash data and the appropriate National Safety Council costs for each year.

**Table D  
AVERAGE COST FOR  
RURAL**

Type of Collision On and Off Roadway	Property Damage Crashes	Injury Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Number of Persons		Total Crashes	Average Cost
				Killed	Injured		
Overtuned	1,933	2,839	110	121	3,963	4,882	\$62,691
Pedestrian	7	135	31	33	157	173	\$242,736
Train	12	4	1	2	4	17	\$96,119
Pedalcyclist	9	103	9	9	113	121	\$119,771
Animal	864	116	4	4	144	984	\$16,445
Fixed Object	8,125	3,447	210	231	4,331	11,782	\$41,255
Other Object	1,844	387	6	6	492	2,237	\$17,599
Non-Collision	1,743	592	1	1	712	2,336	\$17,944
Parked	781	157	2	2	211	940	\$17,286
Rear-End	7,234	3,042	70	77	5,113	10,346	\$33,033
Head-On	113	371	162	213	1,040	646	\$423,594
Sideswipe – Same Direction	2,211	402	5	5	629	2,618	\$17,865
Sideswipe – Opposite Direction	670	380	20	22	621	1,070	\$50,349
Angle	3,099	2,120	152	183	4,280	5,371	\$73,333
Turning	4,511	2,117	54	58	3,730	6,682	\$36,856
Other	17	1	0	0	1	18	\$8,217
<b>ANNUAL AVERAGE</b>	<b>11,058</b>	<b>5,404</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>8,514</b>	<b>16,741</b>	<b>\$46,166</b>

Average costs are calculated using 2001, 2002, and 2003 crash data and the appropriate National Safety Council costs for each year.

**Table E  
AVERAGE COST FOR  
CHICAGO**

Type of Collision On and Off Roadway	Property Damage Crashes	Injury Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Number of Persons		Total Crashes	Average Cost
				Killed	Injured		
Overtuned	22	44	2	2	60	68	\$68,996
Pedestrian	7	769	18	18	803	793	\$65,662
Train	0	1	0	0	4	1	\$60,667
Pedalcyclist	15	242	0	0	249	257	\$39,779
Animal	5	0	0	0	0	5	\$4,800
Fixed Object	1,313	442	19	21	554	1,774	\$30,692
Other Object	272	31	0	0	40	303	\$11,617
Non-Collision	169	33	1	1	36	203	\$18,179
Parked	2,081	161	1	1	203	2,243	\$10,645
Rear-End	11,840	2,139	4	4	3,294	13,983	\$15,815
Head-On	126	88	1	1	193	215	\$48,663
Sideswipe – Same Direction	4,889	355	3	3	543	5,247	\$11,354
Sideswipe – Opposite Direction	251	40	0	0	65	291	\$15,640
Angle	3,666	980	6	6	1,698	4,652	\$21,693
Turning	6,576	1,540	7	7	2,582	8,123	\$19,525
Other	67	5	0	0	6	72	\$11,194
<b>ANNUAL AVERAGE</b>	<b>10,433</b>	<b>2,290</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3,443</b>	<b>12,743</b>	<b>\$18,529</b>

Average costs are calculated using 2001, 2002, and 2003 crash data and the appropriate National Safety Council costs for each year.



Attachment B

**SPOT SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS – PROJECTED BENEFIT/COST RATIOS**

DISTRICT         6        

FISCAL YEAR                         

(1) LOCATION NUMBER	(2) ESTIMATED COST	(3) SERVICE LIFE (YEARS)	(4) ANNUALIZED CONST. COST (2) / (3)	(5) TOTAL CRASHES	(6) AFFECTED CRASHES	(7) CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR (%)	(8) AFFECTED CRASHES REDUCED (6) x (7)	(9) BENEFITS (\$) (8) x CRASH COST	(10) ANNUALIZED BENEFIT/COST (9) / (4) / 3
<b>EXAMPLE</b>									
1	\$105,000  \$20,000	15  20	\$7,000  \$1,000  \$8,000 (Total)	39	11 Rear-End  13 Left-Turn	15  15	1.65  1.95	\$54,504  \$71,869  \$126,373 (Total)	          <b>Benefit/Cost</b> 5.27